

pISSN : 2093-7911
eISSN : 2093-7997

Saf Health Work 2011;2:39-51 | DOI:10.5491/SHAW.2011.2.1.39

Original Article

Quantitative Exposure Assessment of Various Chemical Substances in a Wafer Fabrication Industry Facility

Hyunhee PARK¹, Jae-Kil JANG² and Jung-Ah SHIN¹

¹Work Environment Research Department, Occupational Safety and Health Research Institute

²Occupational Safety and Health Training Institute, Korea Occupational Safety and Health Agency, Incheon, Korea

Objectives: This study was designed to evaluate exposure levels of various chemicals used in wafer fabrication product lines in the semiconductor industry where work-related leukemia has occurred.

Methods: The research focused on 9 representative wafer fabrication bays among a total of 25 bays in a semiconductor production line. We monitored the chemical substances categorized as human carcinogens with respect to leukemia as well as harmful chemicals used in the bays and substances with hematologic and reproductive toxicities to evaluate the overall health effect for semiconductor industry workers. With respect to monitoring, active and passive sampling techniques were introduced. Eight-hour long-term and 15-minute short-term sampling was conducted for the area as well as on personal samples.

Results: The results of the measurements for each substance showed that benzene, toluene, xylene, n-butyl acetate, 2-methoxyethanol, 2-heptanone, ethylene glycol, sulfuric acid, and phosphoric acid were non-detectable (ND) in all samples. Arsine was either "ND" or it existed only in trace form in the bay air. The maximum exposure concentration of fluorides was approximately 0.17% of the Korea occupational exposure limits, with hydrofluoric acid at about 0.2%, hydrochloric acid 0.06%, nitric acid 0.05%, isopropyl alcohol 0.4%, and phosphine at about 2%. The maximum exposure concentration of propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA) was 0.0870 ppm, representing only 0.1% or less than the American Industrial Hygiene Association recommended standard (100 ppm).

Conclusion: Benzene, a known human carcinogen for leukemia, and arsine, a hematologic toxin, were not detected in wafer fabrication sites in this study. Among reproductive toxic substances, n-butyl acetate was not detected, but fluorides and PGMEA existed in small amounts in the air. This investigation was focused on the air-borne chemical concentrations only in regular working conditions. Unconditional exposures during spills and/or maintenance tasks and by-product chemicals were not included. Supplementary studies might be required.

Key Words: Semiconductor, Carcinogen, Reproductive toxin, Hematologic toxicity, Benzene, Arsine

Introduction

Semiconductor fabrication industries handle a variety of toxic

chemical substances (metals, organic compounds, acids, alkaline, toxic gases), but generally all processes are carried out in class 1-level (less than one speck of dust in air volume of 1ft³) clean rooms and exposure levels are known to be very low. According to Worskie et al. [1], evaluations of exposure levels of organic solvents and fluorides in clean rooms in semiconductor industries show that, in exposure levels demonstrated by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), levels were measured at 2% or less than the expo-

Received: October 29, 2010, **Accepted:** December 2, 2010

Correspondence to: Hyunhee PARK

Work Environment Research Department

Occupational Safety and Health Research Institute

34-4, Gusan-dong, Bupyeong-gu, Incheon 403-711, Korea

Tel: +82-32-510-0807, **Fax:** +82-32-518-0864

E-mail: phh2000@kosha.net

Copyright © 2011 Safety and Health at Work (SH@W)

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

sure criteria, even when the lowest values were applied. However, it was reported that 2-ethoxyethyl acetate (2-EEA) was measured at about 15% of the ACGIH-TLVs (Threshold Limit Values). Scarpace et al. [2] reported, in an evaluation of air in clean rooms, a rate of 10% or less of the exposure standard of 2-EEA. Despite this low rate of exposure concentration, cases of occupational-related spontaneous abortion and occupational cancer were reported for workers in the semiconductor industry [1]. Spontaneous abortions have been reported to have a dose-response relationship with ethylene-based glycol ethers and ethylene-based chemicals used in photoresist and development processes. Workers' abortions could be connected with work stress and fluorides created in the process of etching [1].

For the relationships between occupational cancers and industrial processes in the semiconductor industry, a cohort study [3], which observed 1,897 semiconductor industry workers between 1970-2001 in the Midlands, UK, an epidemiologic survey of 4,388 workers at the National Semiconductor Company conducted by England's Ministry of Safety and Public Health [4], and a study by IBM in the United States of 126,836 workers at 3 major companies [5] were unable to establish any links to occupational causes. Sufficient numbers of investigations and exposure evaluations of chemical substances handled during the production of semiconductors have not yet been implemented, and as the technology has been transferred from advanced countries like Europe and the United States to developing countries, detailed supplementary studies have been recommended [6,7].

Therefore, this study was focused on the production lines of workplaces where 2 leukemia cases had been found in the past [8] in order to investigate the exposure levels of various chemical substances handled in a semiconductor fabrication process.

Materials and Methods

Target workplace

We conducted an evaluation of one of the production lines where leukemia had occurred, which was established in 1988 and used the oldest method of production. The production line under survey was a large-scale clean room building 100 mL × 50 mW, with a multi-level structure divided into 1st and 2nd floors, divided into about 25 bays, or work spaces. Generally the production process consisted of six steps (diffusion, lithography, etching, ion implantation, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and metallization) and each step consisted of 3-6 bays depending on the type of process. Measurements were carried out at 9 bays representing the main stage of the process. Each

bay was about 3 mL × 20 mW, and evaluations of exposure levels were conducted by dividing each bay into 3 areas. Thus, monitoring was conducted in 27 different measurement locations. To verify the daily exposure variations, duplicate measurements were performed on two separate days.

Accordingly, Bays 3 (wet etching), 6 (dry etching), 9 (lithography), 13 (ion implantation), and 14 (chemical vapor deposition) on the 1st floor, as well as bays EF 2, 14 (lithography), EF16 (dry etching), and EF 17 (chemical vapor deposition) on the 2nd floor were selected for the evaluation. Diffusion and metallization processes were not investigated, because they do not use target chemical substances for this research project.

The first work for the investigation took place in May 2007 to verify on-site processes and handled chemical substances. The second preparatory investigation was conducted in June 2007 to set up a detailed investigation plan. On-site exposure monitoring was carried out in September 2007.

Measured chemical substances

We monitored chemical substances categorized as human carcinogens with respect to leukemia, harmful chemicals currently regulated by the Korea Ministry of Labor (KMOL) that were used in the bays, and substances with hematologic and reproductive toxicities to evaluate the overall health effect for semiconductor workers (Appendix 1). Among the regulated harmful substances, the study measured acids (sulfuric, hydrofluoric, hydrochloric, nitric, phosphoric), organic solvents (isopropyl alcohol, toluene, xylene, etc.), phosphine, 2-heptanone, ethylene glycol, as well as known human lymphohematogenic carcinogens, such as benzene, chemicals with hematologic toxicity, such as arsine, and substances with reproductive toxicity, such as fluoride, n-butyl acetate, cellosolves, and propylene glycol mono methyl ether acetate. Exposure criteria for the chemical substances that were measured are shown in (Appendix 2). Carcinogenic categories recommended by the ACGIH include sulfuric acid (A2), fluoride (A4), hydrochloric acid (A4), and isopropyl alcohol (A4), while the US-EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency) has insufficient data to show that phosphine is carcinogenic in humans according to its category EPA-D (not classified as to human carcinogen) and is therefore a substance difficult to classify. Arsine is a potential carcinogenic substance according to the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) classification NIOSH-Ca (Potential Occupational Carcinogen). According to the International Agency for Research on Cancers standard, benzene and sulfuric acids are classified as group 1 carcinogens.

Measurement method

The workers have to wear a bunny suit (clean suit), a kind of body suit, which made it difficult for them to wear the personal air-sampling device, so area samples were mainly collected for this study. However, at bays where organic solvents were measured, in addition to area sample collection, a personal passive-type sample-collecting device (3M 3520 organic vapor monitor) was used along with the area samples. As the Bay 3 wet etching process is the oldest process in the factory where workers manually put silicon wafers directly in a plating bath and withdraw them, simultaneous 15-min short-term exposure concentration (STEL) measurements were conducted with 8-hr long-term samplings.

The clean room operates continually in three shifts, 24 hours a day, each shift being 6 AM to 2 PM, 2 PM to 10 PM, and 10 PM to 6 AM the next morning. Assuming little differ-

ence in exposure levels among shifts, samples were collected for more than 7 hours for each shift. The exposure concentration at non-measured hours in the shift were also assumed to be no different in exposure concentrations with measured hours, and we compared concentrations during measurement periods with 8-hour time-weighted average-occupational exposure limits (TWA-OEL).

Sample collection and analysis were conducted based on the NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM). Information regarding sample collecting media and analytical methods by substance can be found in (Table 1). For the area samples, low airflow samplers (Gilliam, USA) and high airflow samplers (MSA, USA) were used. Each sampler was calibrated before and after measurements to ensure accurate monitoring. We included 1 or more on-site blank per every ten samples.

Table 1. Sampling and analytical methods for measured substances

Substances	Sampling device	Sampler (flow rate, lpm)	NIOSH method No.	Analytical device
Organic solvents (charcoal)	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 1501	GC/FID
Organic solvents (passive)	Passive sampler (3M 3500)	-	N 1501	GC/FID
Arsine	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 6001	AA (Graphite furnace)
Fluoride	Filter + Treated PAD	High flow pump (1-2)	N 7906	Ion chromatography
n-Butyl acetate	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 1450	GC/FID
2-ethoxy-ethyl acetate	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 1450	GC/FID
2-Methoxy ethanol	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 1403	GC/FID
Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 2554	GC/FID
Acids	Washed silicagel (400/200 mg with glassfiber)	High flow pump (0.2-0.5)	N 7903	Ion chromatography
Isopropyl alcohol	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 1400	GC/FID
Phosphine	Carbon beaded with potassium hydroxide	Low flow pump (0.05-0.15)	ID-180*	Ion chromatography
2-Heptanone, (Methyl n-amyl ketone)	Coconut shell charcoal (100/50 mg)	Low flow pump (0.1-0.2)	N 1301	GC/FID
Ethylene glycol	XAD-7 OVS tube	High Flow Pump (0.5-2)	N 5523	GC/FID

NIOSH: The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, GC/FID: gas chromatography/flame ionization detector, AA: atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

*ID-180: OSHA method ID-180 (N: NIOSH methods).

Data analysis and statistics

Results of measurements and analysis are grouped into substances, processes, floors, and sampling days and are summarized in (Tables 2-6). In order to figure out the distribution patterns of the substances, we used a Shapiro & Wilk Test (W-Test) with a 5% of significant level, and then the representative values were shown by means of arithmetic and geometric mean. For each arithmetic and geometric mean, samples determined to be “below detection limit” or “non-detectable (ND)” were assumed to represent $LOD/\sqrt{2}$, which is the method widely used in the industrial hygiene field. Detection limits of measured chemicals are shown in (Table 2). The Lognorm 2 program [9] was used to estimate 99% of the measured (estimated) values and the 99% tolerance limits (TL) with 99% significance levels for hydrofluoric acid, sulfuric acid, isopropyl alcohol, and propylene glycol mono methyl ether acetate (those with 20 or more samples).

The arithmetic and geometric means for each sampling day were obtained after translating the concentration into

$LOD/\sqrt{2}$ for “ND” samples, just as was done with the mean of the total substances with respect to measurement results according to process, floors, and sampling day. Also, regarding the results organized by floor and sampling day, a Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used on PASW statistics 18.0 (SPSS inc., Chicago, IL. USA), followed by the calculation of the p-value to analyze whether statistically significant differences were obtained in the results of the two test periods. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed only on substances with sample rates of 50% or more whose result values were not “ND”.

Results

Results of measured substances

The results of the measurements for each substance are shown in (Table 2). Benzene, n-butyl acetate, 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, 2-methoxy ethanol, 2-heptanone, and ethylene glycol were not detected in all samples. Arsinic concentrations were “ND” or at trace levels for all samples.

Table 2. Concentrations of measured substances

Substances	n	Detected n*	AM	GM	Range	Distribution	99% TL	LOD	KOEL	Unit
Benzene	24	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.003	1	ppm
Arsine	12	0	Trace	Trace	ND-Trace	-	-	0.00002	0.005	ppm
Fluoride	18	2	0.00088	0.00074	ND-0.0042	-	-	0.0007	2.5	mg/m ³
n-Butyl acetate	24	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.01	150	ppm
2-ethoxy-ethyl acetate (EEA)	12	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.02	5	ppm
2-Methoxy ethanol (ME)	12	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.02	5	ppm
PGMEA	12	12	0.080	0.079	0.068-0.103	-	0.152	0.02	-	ppm
Acids										
Hydrofluoric	30	30	0.0041	0.0039	0.0013-0.0061	Normal	0.012	0.0003	C3	ppm
Hydrochloric	30	2	0.000088	0.000073	ND-0.00061	-	-	0.00007	1	ppm
Sulfuric	30	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.004	0.2	mg/m ³
Nitric	30	5	0.000084	0.000052	ND-0.00091	-	-	0.00004	2	ppm
Phosphoric	30	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.0001	1	mg/m ³
Isopropyl alcohol	24	24	0.25	0.19	0.059-0.80	Log-normal	2.86	0.01	200	ppm
Phosphine	24	4	0.0026	0.0025	ND-0.0061	-	-	0.004	0.3	ppm
2-Heptanone	24	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.01	50	ppm
Ethylene glycol	18	0	ND	ND	ND	-	-	0.02	50	ppm

AM: arithmetic mean, GM: geometric mean, 99% TL: tolerance limit, the measurement results estimated a 99% measured range value, LOD: limit of detection, KOEL: Korea Occupational Exposure Limits, ND: non-detectable was translated into value of $LOD/\sqrt{2}$, C: ceiling.

*Detected n: sample number except ND.

Table 3. Average concentrations by each floor

Substance		1st floor				2nd floor				Unit
		n	AM	GM	Range	n	AM	GM	Range	
Arsine		6	Trace	Trace	ND-Trace	6	ND	ND	ND	ppm
Fluoride		12	ND	ND	ND	6	0.00134	0.00096	ND-0.0042	mg/m ³
PGMEA		6	0.077	0.077	0.071-0.087	6	0.081	0.081	0.068-0.103	ppm
Acid	Hydrofluoric	18	0.0043	0.0041	0.0014-0.0061	12	0.0036	0.0036	0.0024-0.0057	ppm
	Hydrochloric	18	0.00011	0.000081	ND-0.00061	12	ND	ND	ND	ppm
	Nitric	18	0.00010	0.00005	ND-0.00091	12	0.00006	0.00005	ND-0.00026	ppm
Isopropyl alcohol		12	0.14	0.09	0.060-0.26	12	0.36	0.35	0.12-0.80	ppm
Phosphine		12	0.0027	0.0026	ND-0.0061	12	0.0025	0.0023	ND-0.0059	ppm

AM: arithmetic mean, GM: geometric mean, ND: non-detectable was translated into value of LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$.

If all samples for a specific substance were ND, then each floor result for that substance is not shown in this table.

Table 4. Average concentrations for each sampling day

Substance		1st test period				2nd test period				Unit
		n	AM	GM	Range	n	AM	GM	Range	
Arsine		6	ND	ND	ND	6	Trace	Trace	ND-Trace	ppm
Fluoride		9	ND	ND	ND	9	0.0011	0.00086	ND-0.0042	mg/m3
PGMEA		6	0.078	0.077	0.071-0.102	6	0.081	0.081	0.068-0.103	ppm
Acids	Hydrofluoric	15	0.0034	0.0032	0.0014-0.0051	15	0.0047	0.0046	0.0034-0.0061	ppm
	Hydrochloric	15	ND	ND	ND	15	0.00011	0.000081	ND-0.00061	ppm
	Nitric	15	0.00012	0.00006	ND-0.00091	15	ND	ND	ND	ppm
Isopropyl alcohol		12	0.18	0.14	0.091-0.26	12	0.31	0.28	0.06-0.80	ppm
Phosphine		12	ND	ND	ND	12	0.0031	0.0028	ND-0.0061	ppm

AM: arithmetic mean, GM: geometric mean, ND: non-detectable was translated into value of LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$.

If all samples for a specific substance were ND, then each sampling day result for that substance is not shown in this table.

Table 5. Concentrations of measured substances by passive sampler

Substance	n	Detected n	AM	GM	Range	Distribution	OEL	Unit
Benzene	12	0	ND	ND	ND	-	1	ppm
Isopropyl alcohol	12	12	0.11	0.076	0.0052-0.27	Normal	200	ppm
Toluene	12	3	-	-	ND-0.67	-	50	ppm
n-Butyl acetate	12	0	ND	ND	ND	-	150	ppm
Xylene	12	2	-	-	ND-0.36	-	100	ppm
2-Heptanone	12	0	ND	ND	ND	-	50	ppm

AM: arithmetic mean, GM: geometric mean, OEL: occupational exposure limits, ND: non-detectable.

Table 6. Concentrations of measured substances by short-term sampling

Substances	n	Detected n*	AM	GM	Range	Distribution	OEL	Unit
Organic solvent	4	0	ND	ND	ND	-	1	ppm
Fluoride	4	0	ND	ND	ND	-	2.5	mg/m ³
Acids Hydrofluoric	4	4	0.092	0.092	0.082-0.102	Normal	C3	ppm
Hydrochloric	4	1	0.0028	0.0028	ND-0.00048	-	1	ppm
Sulfuric	4	4	0.026	0.016	0.0018-0.048	Normal	0.2	mg/m ³
Nitric	4	0	ND	ND	ND	-	2	ppm
Phosphoric	4	0	ND	ND	ND	-	1	mg/m ³

AM: arithmetic mean, GM: geometric mean, OEL: occupational exposure limits, ND: non-detectable was translated into value of LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$, C: ceiling.

*Detected n: sample number except ND.

Fluoride was “ND” except for two samples. After translating the ND samples into the LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$ value, an arithmetic mean of 0.00088 mg/m³ and geometric mean of 0.00074 mg/m³ corresponded to approximately 0.1% of the Korea Occupational Exposure Limits (KOEL), with the maximum concentration of 0.0042 mg/m³ being about 0.17% of the standard.

Propylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether Acetate (PGMEA) was detected in all twelve samples at in the range of 0.068-0.103 ppm with an arithmetic mean of 0.080 ppm and geometric mean of 0.079 ppm. Exposure limits for PGMEA are not established by KMOL, ACGIH, and NIOSH, but the standard recommended by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) is 100 ppm.

Sulfuric and phosphoric acid were “ND” in all samples. Hydrochloric acid was “ND” in 2 of 30 samples, and nitric acid was “ND” in all but 5 samples. Hydrofluoric acid had the highest concentrations among all the acids and this was detected in all samples, but its highest concentration was 0.0061 ppm, or about 0.2% of the KOEL. However, according to the 15-min. short-term exposure concentration evaluations at Bay 3, the maximum concentration of hydrofluoric acid was detected at 0.102 ppm, amounting to 3.4% of the KOEL and roughly 5.1% of the ACGIH standard.

The arithmetic and geometric means of isopropyl alcohol were 0.25 ppm and 0.19 ppm, respectively. The concentration range was 0.059-0.80 ppm and this was about 0.03-0.4% of the KOEL. Phosphine exhibited a range of “ND” - 0.0061 ppm and only 4 samples had detectable levels among the total of 24 samples. The highest exposure of 0.0061 ppm was about 2% of the KOEL.

Results of measurements organized by process and floors

Measurement results on the 1st floor, depending on the process, showed that fluoride and benzene were “ND” for the wet etching process in Bay 3. Concentrations of hydrofluoric acid were similar in most bays engaging in cleansing, and they were about 0.2% or less of the KOEL. Nitric acid was detected in 4 samples at about 0.05% of the KOEL. The concentrations of hydrochloric acid were “ND” in all but 1 sample, and sulfuric and phosphoric acid were “ND” in all samples.

The dry etching process (cleansing) at Bay 6 had “ND” levels for hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, phosphoric acid, and fluoride, while hydrofluoric acid had a maximum concentration of 0.0061 ppm or about 0.2% of the KOEL.

For the lithography process in Bay 9, benzene, 2-heptanone, n-butyl acetate, 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, and 2-methoxyethanol (Methoxyethanol, methyl cellosolve) were all “ND”. PGMEA had a maximum exposure concentration of 0.087 ppm, equaling 0.1% or less of the AIHA recommended standard. Currently the KMOL, ACGIH, and NIOSH do not have exposure limits for this substance. It has been used at semiconductor manufacturing sites as a substitute for 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, known to cause reproductive toxins (AIHA standard 100 ppm). For the ion implantation process at Bay 13, arsine turned up in A trace amount for one sample but was “ND” in all other samples. Ethylene glycol was “ND” everywhere. Phosphine was detected in two samples, with a maximum exposure concentration of 0.0061 ppm, or about 2% of the KOEL. For the ion implantation process at Bay 14, phosphine was “ND”. Hydrofluoric acid was about 0.15% of the KOEL (Appendix 3). Results organized by process on the 2nd floor were as follows: At bay 14, 2-heptanone, n-butyl acetate, benzene, arsine, etc,

were “ND” in all samples, except 1 sample had a detectable level for phosphine. In bay EF 2, propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA) was detected at 0.0680-0.1030 ppm, but 2-ethoxyethyl acetate and 2-methoxyethanol were not detected. At bay EF 16, ethylene glycol was “ND” but hydrofluoric acid (0.0023-0.0057 ppm), and nitric acid (ND-0.00026 ppm) were detected. At bay EF 17, phosphine concentrations were detected at a maximum of 0.0041 ppm, and acid tests results were similar to bay EF 16 (Appendix 4).

Based on the results organized by floor as a whole, benzene, n-butyl acetate, 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, 2-methoxyethanol, sulfuric acid, phosphoric acid, 2-heptanone, ethylene glycol were “ND” in all samples on both floors, with little differences between floors. All arsine levels were “ND” except for a trace amount in one sample taken at the 1st floor.

Fluoride was not detected in all first floor samples, but it was detected in two samples at bay EF 14 on the 2nd floor with a concentration level arithmetic mean of 0.00134 and geometric mean of 0.00096 mg/m³.

Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate on floors 1 and 2 had a mean concentration of 0.077 ppm and 0.081 ppm, respectively; and the result of a Wilcoxon signed-rank test had a p-value of 0.600 ($p > 0.05$), so no significant differences existed between the levels of each floor.

For acids, hydrofluoric acid was evaluated to be 0.0005 ppm higher on the 1st floor than on the 2nd floor. The results of a Wilcoxon signed-rank test for each floor showed the p-value to be 0.480 ($p > 0.05$), so no significant differences existed between the levels of each floor. Hydrochloric acid was “ND” in all samples from the 2nd floor but, on the 1st floor, 2 samples had quantifiable levels.

Nitric acid had arithmetic and geometric means of 0.00010 and 0.00005 ppm, respectively, for the first floor and 0.00006 and 0.00005 ppm, respectively, for the second floor. All samples were “ND” except for 4 samples that were at about 0.005% of the exposure standard of 2 ppm.

Isopropyl alcohol was shown to exist in higher mean concentrations on the 2nd floor than those of the 1st floor. The concentrations in the 2nd floor at bays EF 14 and 17 were twice as high as those of the 1st floor. For isopropyl alcohol, the results of the two test periods were subjected to a Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and the test yielded a p-value of 0.023 ($p < 0.05$), so statistically significant differences did exist between the two floors. Phosphine evaluated on the 1st and 2nd floors had arithmetic and geometric means of 0.0027 and 0.0026 ppm, respectively, on the first floor and 0.0025 and 0.0023 ppm, respectively, on the second floor. Except for 4 samples, all were “ND”, and those 4 samples had an average of about 0.068% of

the exposure standard of 0.4 ppm.

Therefore, results organized by substance measured between the 1st and 2nd floors showed that no statistical differences existed between chemical levels in each floor, except for isopropyl alcohol (Table 3).

Results of measurements organized by sampling days

Looking at the measurement results for each sampling day, benzene, n-butyl acetate, 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, 2-methoxyethanol, 2-heptanone and ethylene glycol were “ND” for all samples taken during day 1 and 2, with no difference between the two sample days. Except for one sample taken during the second day, arsine was “ND” in all cases, and phosphine was “ND” in all samples from day 1, but they were quantified in 4 samples at a higher geometric mean of about 0.0008 ppm (38%) in day 2.

The concentrations of the fluoride samples were “ND” in all samples in day 1, but two samples had slight levels from bay EF 16 in day 2. Results of a Wilcoxon signed-rank test conducted on the results of PGMEA from day 1 and 2 showed no significant differences with a p-value of 0.354 ($p > 0.05$). The highest concentration of hydrofluoric acid appeared higher in day 2 at a level about 0.0014 ppm (44%) compared to day 1. Samples taken during day 2 showed a slightly higher level than day 1. Hydrochloric acid also appeared a bit higher in day 2. Two samples quantified in day 2, while all samples were “ND” in day 1. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied to the levels of hydrofluoric acid for each day, and a p-value of 0.001 ($p < 0.05$) was obtained. The concentrations of isopropyl alcohol also had a higher mean concentration in day 2 compared to day 1. Much higher concentrations were recorded in bays EF 14 and 17 in day 1. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test did not yield a significant difference for the isopropyl alcohol results for each sampling day with a p-value of 0.136 ($p > 0.05$).

Therefore, results organized by sampling days showed no statistically significant differences in all chemicals, except for hydrofluoric acid (Table 4).

Results of passive-type collecting device and short-term measurement

Results of the passive-type collecting device for organic solvents were mostly “ND” with the exception of isopropyl alcohol. Isopropyl alcohol had an arithmetic mean of 0.11 ppm and a geometric mean of 0.076, and it was as much as 50% lower compared to the level of the area samples that had values of 0.25 ppm and 0.19 ppm. As for toluene and xylene, concentrations were qualified in 3 samples and 2 samples, respectively, and all

below 1.5% of their respective exposure standards (Table 5).

At Bay 3, the short-timed measurement results for organic solvents were “ND” in all samples. We judged that this was due to the short sampling time of 15 minutes. As for acids, hydrofluoric acid had a mean of 0.092 ppm, and, compared to the arithmetic mean of 0.0041 ppm for area samples, showed an approximately 20 times greater concentration. Fluorides were not detected for all short-term samples (Table 6).

Discussion

Since entering in US industries in the late 1940s with the invention of the transistor, microelectronics companies expanded rapidly in the twenties century [10,11]. NIOSH conducted the Health Hazard Evaluation in several semiconductor industry worksites in the 1970s and 1980s focused on chemicals including metals, organic solvents, and acids. The air-borne concentrations of the chemicals were relatively low compare to OEL. It recommended using respirators, improving local exhaust ventilation, and stressing better work practices. They also asked continuous researches in this industry for workers health and safety [12-14].

We measured the chemicals that are used in the worksites and regulated as harmful substances by KMOL, chemical substances that are categorized as human carcinogens with respect to leukemia, and chemicals with hematologic and reproductive toxicity. Benzene, toluene, xylene, n-butyl acetate, 2-methoxyethanol, 2-heptanone, ethylene glycol, and phosphoric acid were ND in all samples. Benzene, 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, and 2-methoxyethanol were measured to verify that these chemicals can exist as impurities or by-products even though they were not actually used in this fabrication line. We found that the possibility of generation of benzene, 2-ethoxyethyl acetate, and 2-methoxyethanol was rare in this product line.

Arsine was either “ND” or existed only in trace amounts in the air. Arsine was used in the ion implantation process and arsine was injected into the machine while the machine’s door-lock system was operating, so the possibility of leakage of arsine gas and exposure chances to workers during a normal operation period was very low.

The maximum exposure concentration of fluorides was approximately 0.17% of the exposure standard, with hydrofluoric acid at 0.2%, hydrochloric acid 0.06%, sulfuric acid 1.7%, nitric acid 0.05%, isopropyl alcohol 0.4%, and phosphine at about 2%. The maximum exposure concentration of PGMEA was 0.0870 ppm, representing only 0.1% or less than the AIHA recommended standard (100 ppm).

Results organized by substance measured between the 1st

and 2nd floors showed that statistical differences existed for isopropyl alcohol and, when compared by sampling days, statistical differences existed for hydrofluoric acid.

The organic solvent used in the photolithography process existed both on the 1st and 2nd floor, but the concentration of isopropyl alcohol was higher for the 2nd floor lithography process. Fluorides were detected in EF 16 (Dry etching) on the 2nd floor only on day 2. This means exposure concentration differences can arise depending on the sampling day even though the same procedures are being performed, so we recommended repeated sampling on different days when evaluating chemical concentrations in the air, even in the clean-room environment.

Measurement results using a passive-type measurement device, as with the measurement results for organic solvents, were mostly “ND” except for isopropyl alcohol. Isopropyl alcohol was as much as 50% lower compared to those of the area samples. Short-term measurement results were “ND” for all samples for organic solvents due to the short period of sample collection time. As for acids, hydrofluoric acid had a mean of 0.092 ppm, and it had a level about 20 times higher than the arithmetic mean of 0.0041 ppm for the area samples. Fluoride was evaluated as “ND” for all samples.

The limitation of our monitoring was that many samples were “ND” and we estimated that these results come from the low sampling volume. So, in the next semiconductor evaluating study, higher sampling volumes are recommended.

Our monitoring results in a semiconductor industry facility were similar to the data in the research by Worskie et al. [1], in which all solvent and fluoride concentrations measured were less than 2 percent of the lowest exposure limits recommended by OSHA or ACGIH, except EEA, which had maximum levels of 15 percent of the ACGIH-recommended exposure limit. Scarpace et al. [2] reported chemical exposures in the semiconductor industry that were typically reported as less than 10 percent of the ACGIH-TLV.

Nevertheless some researchers reported the risk of spontaneous abortion and cancer incidence among semiconductor workers and they asked for further detailed supplementary studies [1,7]. Also they pointed out that high exposures are likely to occur during episodes like spills or maintenance tasks. Actually, during the normal operation period, the chemical are used inside of machines with operational door-lock systems, so workers are rarely exposed to chemicals. However, during spills or maintenance tasks, workers can be expose to chemicals in the workplace and in clean-room systems which re-circulate over 80% of air that is only passed through high-efficiency particle filters, so chemicals can remain in the air for quite a long time. Also, chemical information and health hazards in high-

tech semiconductor manufacturing processes might be poorly understood due to rapid process changes and the penchant for secrecy by such manufacturers.

In conclusions, the monitoring levels of the chemicals for the 9 bays in a semiconductor industry facility suggested quite low possible workers' exposures compare to the criteria of KOEL, OSHA, ACGIH, and NIOSH. Benzene, a known human carcinogen with respect to leukemia, and arsine were not detected in the process. Among reproductive toxic substances, n-butyl acetate was not detected, but fluorides and propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA) were found to exist in slight amounts. This study tried to investigate only normal work environment conditions. Possible worker' exposure in episodes, such as spills or maintenance tasks, were not included, so detailed and consecutive supplementary studies might be required. Possible unknown reactive hazardous by-products generated in the process of semiconductor industry processes should be evaluated in future studies too.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

1. Woskie SR, Hammond SK, Hines CJ, Hallock MF, Kenyon E, Schenker MB. Personal fluoride and solvent exposures, and their determinants, in semiconductor manufacturing. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2000;15:354-61.
2. Scarpace L, Williams M, Baldwin D, Stewart J, Lassiter DV. Results of industrial hygiene sampling in semiconductor manufacturing operations. In: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, editor. *Hazards assessment and control technology in semiconductor manufacturing*. Chelsea: Lewis Publishers; p. 47-52.
3. Nichols L, Sorahan T. Cancer incidence and cancer mortality in a cohort of UK semiconductor workers, 1970-2002. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2005;55:625-30.
4. McElvenny DM, Darnton AJ, Hodgson JT, Clarke SD, Elliott RC, Osman J. Investigation of cancer incidence and mortality at a Scottish semiconductor manufacturing facility. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2003;53:419-30.
5. Beall C, Bender TJ, Cheng H, Herrick R, Kahn A, Matthews R, Sathakumar N, Schymura M, Stewart J, Delzell E. Mortality among semiconductor and storage device-manufacturing workers. *J Occup Environ Med* 2005;47:996-1014.
6. LaDou J. Health issues in the global semiconductor industry. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1994;23:765-9.
7. LaDou J, Bailar JC 3rd. Cancer and reproductive risks in the semiconductor industry. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 2007;13:376-85.
8. Kim EA, Lee HE, Kang SK. Occupational burden of cancer in Korea. *Saf Health Work* 2010;1:61-8.
9. Gordon V. *LogNorm 2: Statistics for exposure assessment [CD-ROM]*. Amer Industrial Hygiene Assn; 2006.
10. LaDou J. Health issues in the microelectronics industry. *Occup Med* 1986;1:1-11.
11. Wald PH, Jones JR. Semiconductor manufacturing: an introduction to processes and hazards. *Am J Ind Med* 1987;11:203-21.
12. National institutes for occupational safety and health. Health hazard evaluation determination. Cincinnati (OH): U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; Report No.: 77-101-376. 1977.
13. Corn M, Cohen R. Real-time measurement of sub-PPM concentrations of airborne chemicals in semiconductor manufacturing. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 1993;3(Suppl 1):37-49.
14. Hallock MF, Hammond SK, Kenyon E, Smith TJ, Smith ER. Assessment of task and peak exposure to solvents in the microelectronics fabrication industry. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1993;8:945-54.

Appendix 1. Measured substances

No.	Substances	Product	Usage	Category*
1	Benzene	-	-	1
2	Arsine	Ion implantation	o	2
3	Fluoride	Wet etching	o	3
4	n-Butyl acetate	Photo resist	o	3
5	2-Ethoxy-ethyl acetate (Ethylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate, Cellosolve acetate)	Photo lithography	-	3
6	2-Methoxyethanol (Ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, Methyl cellosolve	Photo lithography	-	3
7	Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate 1-methoxy 2-propyl acetate)	Photo lithography	o	3
8	Acids (Hydrofluoric, hydrochloric, nitric, phosphoric)	Etching Photo resist	o	4
9	Sulfuric acids	Etching Photo resist	o	1
10	Organic solvents (isopropyl alcohol, toluene, xylene, etc.)	Photo lithography	o	4
11	Phosphine	Ion implantation	o	4
12	2-Heptanone	Photo lithography	o	4
13	Ethylene glycol	Ion implantation	o	4

*Category: 1) Human carcinogens related with leukemia, 2) Chemicals with hematologic toxicity, 3) Reproductive toxic materials, 4) Regulated chemical substance.

Appendix 2. Exposure criteria of measured substances

Substances	Unit	KOEL		ACGIH		OSHA		NIOSH	
		TWA	STEL	TWA	STEL	TWA	STEL	TWA	STEL
Benzene	ppm	1	5	0.5	2.5	1	5	0.1	1
Arsine	ppm	0.05	—	0.005	-	0.05	-	-	C0.002
Fluoride	mg/m ³	2.5	-	2.5	-	2.5	-	-	-
n-Butyl acetate	ppm	150	200	150	-	150	-	150	200
2-ethoxy-ethyl acetate	ppm	5	-	5	-	100	-	0.5	-
2-Methoxy ethanol	ppm	5	-	0.1	-	25	-	0.1	-
Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate	ppm					100 (AIHA)			
Hydrofluoric acid	ppm	-	C3	0.5	C2	3	-	3	C6
Sulfuric acid	mg/m ³	0.2	0.6	0.2	-	1	-	1	-
Hydrochloric acid	ppm	1	2	-	C2	-	C5	-	C5
Nitric acid	ppm	2	4	2	4	2	-	2	4
Phosphoric acid	mg/m ³	1	3	1	3	1	-	1	3
Isopropyl alcohol	ppm	200	400	200	400	400	-	400	500
Phosphine	ppm	0.3	1	0.3	1	0.3	-	0.3	1
2-Heptanone	ppm	50	-	50	-	100	-	100	-
Ethylene glycol	mg/m ³	-	C100	-	C100	-	-	-	-

KOEL: Korea Occupational Exposure Limits, ACGIH: The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, OSHA: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, NIOSH: The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, TWA: time-weighted average, STEL: short-term exposure limit, AIHA: American Industrial Hygiene Association.

Appendix 3. Concentrations of measured substances on the 1st floor

Bay	Process	Task	Sample type	Substance	n	Range	OEL	Unit
3	Wet etching	Etching	Area	Acids	6			
				Hydrofluoric		0.0014-0.0059	C3	ppm
				Hydrochloric		ND-0.00061	1	ppm
				Sulfuric		ND	0.2	ppm
				Nitric		ND-0.00091	2	ppm
				Phosphoric		ND	1	mg/m ³
			Personal	Fluoride	6	ND	2.5	mg/m ³
				Isopropyl alcohol	6	0.122-0.265	200	ppm
				Benzene (charcoal)	6	ND	1	ppm
				Benzene (passive)	4	ND	1	ppm
6	Dry etching	Etching	Area	Acids	6			
				Hydrochloric		0.0030-0.0061	C3	ppm
				Sulfuric		ND	1	ppm
				Nitric		ND	0.2	mg/m ³
				Phosphoric		ND-0.00002	2	ppm
				Hydrofluoric		ND	1	mg/m ³
				Fluoride		ND	2.5	mg/m ³
9	Photo lithography	Photo resist	Area	2-Heptanone	6	ND	50	ppm
				n-Butyl acetate	6	ND	150	ppm
				Benzene (charcoal)	6	ND	1	ppm
			Personal	Benzene (passive)	2	ND	1	ppm
		Lithography	Area	Isopropyl alcohol	6	0.060-0.093	200	ppm
			Area	Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate	6	0.071-0.087	-	ppm
				2-Ethoxy-ethyl acetate	6	ND	5	ppm
				2-Methoxyethanol (ME)	6	ND	5	ppm
13	Ion implantation		Area	Arsine	6	ND-Trace	0.005	ppm
				Phosphine	6	ND-0.0061	0.3	ppm
				Ethylene glycol	6	ND	50	ppm
				Phosphine	6	ND	0.3	ppm
14	CVD		Area	Acids	6			
				Hydrofluoric		0.0040-0.0047	C3	ppm
				Hydrochloric		ND-0.00019	1	ppm
				Sulfuric		ND	0.2	ppm
				Nitric		ND-0.00012	2	mg/m ³
				Phosphoric		ND	1	mg/m ³

OEL: occupational exposure limits, ND: non-detectable, CVD: chemical vapor deposition.

Quantitative Exposure Assessment of Various Chemical Substances in a Wafer Fabrication Industry Facility

Saf Health Work 2011;2:39-51

Appendix 4. Concentrations of measured substances on the 2nd floor

Bay	Process	Task	Sample type	Substance	n	Range	OEL	Unit
EF 14	Photo lithography	Photo resist	Area	2-Heptanone	6	ND	50	ppm
				n-Butyl acetate	6	ND	150	ppm
				Benzene (charcoal)	6	ND	1	ppm
				Phosphine	6	ND-0.0059	0.3	ppm
				Arsine	6	ND	0.005	ppm
			Personal	Benzene (passive)	4	ND	1	ppm
			Area	Isopropyl alcohol	6	0.235-0.803	200	ppm
EF 2		Lithography	Area	Propylene glycol mono methyl ether acetate	6	0.0680-0.1030	-	ppm
				2-ethoxy-ethyl acetate (EEA)	6	ND	5	ppm
				2-Methoxyethanol (ME)	6	ND	5	ppm
EF 16	Dry etching	Etching	Area	Acids	6			
				Hydrofluoric		0.0024-0.0057	C3	ppm
				Hydrochloric		ND	1	ppm
				Sulfuric		ND	0.2	mg/m ³
				Nitric		ND-0.00026	2	ppm
				Phosphoric		ND	1	mg/m ³
				Fluoride	6	ND-0.0042	2.5	mg/m ³
				Ethylene glycol	6	ND	0.4	mg/m ³
				Ethylene glycol	6	ND	0.4	mg/m ³
				Phosphine	6	ND-0.0041	0.3	ppm
EF 17	CVD		Area	Benzene (charcoal)	6	ND	1	ppm
				Benzene (passive)	2	ND	1	ppm
			Area	Isopropyl alcohol	6	0.121-0.466	200	ppm
			Area	Acids	6			
				Hydrofluoric		0.0032-0.0044	C3	ppm
				Hydrochloric		ND	1	ppm
				Sulfuric		ND	0.2	mg/m ³
				Nitric		ND	2	ppm
				Phosphoric		ND	1	mg/m ³

OEL: occupational exposure limits, ND: non-detectable, CVD: chemical vapor deposition.